**[JIRA-1] Usage of AssigneeParameter in search request produces exception**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>Open</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Projekt:</td>
<td>JIRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Komponente(n):</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>betrifft Version(en):</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lösungsversion(en):</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Typ: Bug  
Autor: Admin  
Lösung: Unresolved  
Priorität: Major  
Bearbeiter: Admin  
Stimmen: 0

**log.txt (4 kB)**

We have written a custom field searcher which selects only issues of the current user. Amongst others the following lines are contained:

```java
// add search parameter: assignee == current user
User user = ComponentManager.getInstance().getContext().getUser();
```

If you perform a search with that custom field searcher and try to save the filter the following exception occurs:

```
ERROR [jira.issue.search.SearchRequest]
Could not create parameter class:
com.atlassian.jira.issue.search.parameters.lucene.AssigneeParameter
```

Admin hat Änderungen vorgenommen - (29/Aug/09 10:14 AM)

Attachment  
log.txt

---

**[JIRA-2] Allow administrators to manage filters owned by other users**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>Open</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Projekt:</td>
<td>JIRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Komponente(n):</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>betrifft Version(en):</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lösungsversion(en):</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Typ: New Feature  
Autor: Admin  
Lösung: Unresolved  
Priorität: Critical  
Bearbeiter: Admin  
Stimmen: 0

We have a lot of users who share filters.  
The problem is that only the creator can change the filter.  
So if you have users that aren't there anymore ....
I think I need a pane were a administrator can manage filters.
- delete, change, add filter
- also change
- the creator of the filter (if the user is removed or is to be removed and you want to keep the filter)
- the share level of the filter

Anton hat einen Kommentar hinzugefügt - (28/Aug/09 05:16 PM)
Kristof,
I have updated the summary to make this issue (hopefully) easier to find.
I can see how this would be useful, but please note that we have a lot of feature requests. The way we schedule new features is described here:
http://confluence.atlassian.com/display/DEV/Implementation+of+New+Features+and+Improvements
Is the main problem that you have filters left over from deleted users?
Thanks,
Anton

Matt Perry hat einen Kommentar hinzugefügt - (28/Aug/09 05:21 PM)
We have the problem that some users created **USELESS** filters and share them with all others. Some users created the **SAME** filter and share them with all others.

The administrator must have the possibility to consolidate the collection of filters.

**VOTE FOR IT**

Chris McDonald hat einen Kommentar hinzugefügt - (28/Aug/09 05:25 PM)
It would be nice to have a way to at least delete all the subscriptions for a disabled user.

Here’s the scenario that we came across.

- User has subscriptions and is using company email address
- User leaves company. Disable the user's account
- User subscriptions still being sent to the users' email address
  - Mail server still processing these subscriptions - even though the contents of the email show no issues

Some user's have lots of subscriptions and it is a bit tedious to go and delete them all.
Alternatively, we could change the user's email address to use a fake address, but the mail server would still try and send these.

Admin hat Änderungen vorgenommen - (28/Aug/09 05:29 PM)
**priority** Major
**duedate** 2010-01-12 00:00:00.0

Admin hat Änderungen vorgenommen - (29/Aug/09 10:16 AM)
We have a lot of users who share filters. The problem is that only the creator can change the filter. So if you have users that aren't there anymore .... I think I need a pane were a administrator can manage filters. => delete, change, add filter also change the creator of the filter (if the user is removed or is to be removed and you want to keep the filter) the share level of the filter

We have a lot of users who share filters. The problem is that only the creator can change the filter. So if you have users that aren't there anymore .... I think I need a pane were a administrator can manage filters. (+) delete, change, add filter (+) also change (+) the creator of the filter (if the user is removed or is to be removed and you want to keep the filter) (+) the share level of the filter

Subtasks in JIRA 3.1 are very handy, but since they are full-blown JIRA issues, creating them becomes a bigger burden than it should be.

In particular, I think subtasks should inherit, by default, some of the fields from the parent task, in particular

1. Priority
2. Component
3. Affects Version
4. Fix For Version

It's ok if they can still be modified but, when creating the subtask, those fields should be initialized to the parent's value.

I can only support this suggestion.

It would speed up the sub-task creation process especially when you have a large number of fields to be filled.

The configuration of this feature should allow to:

- pick the fields that will be copied
- pick the fields that will be updated based on changes to the parent issue

Cheers,
Chris McDonald hat einen Kommentar hinzugefügt - (28/Aug/09 05:26 PM)

Looks as if this issue is low on the priority list considering how old this is, but I'd like to voice a related issue: If you edit a parent, the subtasks should automatically change at least some of the fields. Our particular issue is that we have parents that we change the COMPONENT on and the subtasks don't follow. They become lost to some of our users.

From what I saw here the discussion was focused on newly created subtasks, and I wanted to cover the change process.

[JIRA-4] Enhance 'Project Category' functionality (versions/components/roadmap) and allow nested categories

| Status:          | Open          |
| Projek:          | JIRA          |
| Komponenten(n):  | None          |
| betrifft Version(en): | None    |
| Lösungsversion(en): | None         |

| Typ:             | Improvement   |
| Autor:           | Admin         |
| Lösung:          | Unresolved    |
| Priorität:       | Major         |
| Bearbeiter:      | Admin         |
| Stimmen:         | 0             |

One of the configuration difficulties with JIRA and it's 'silo' project structure is how mapping/coordinating across multiple projects is very troublesome.

One example of this is an enterprise software product where individual modules are mapped onto projects yet the product as a whole has no central control point.

Another example is a consulting organization working on several different projects with the same client, or one project with multiple deliverables.

One fix for this would be to extend the categorizations available for individual projects onto project categories - essentially nesting projects - where each individual project has it's versions and components yet the project category can have an overall view of deliverables.

Such a feature would quickly create demand for 'views' similar to those available for JIRA projects today - roadmaps, issues by user, filtering by category, etc.

[JIRA-5] Implement cluster support
Erstellt: 28/Aug/09 12:57 PM - Aktualisiert: 29/Aug/09 09:50 AM
In its current state, JIRA cannot be clustered. This is very bad for high availability environments where we heavily depend on JIRA. Our experience with JIRA over the last year is that it is not a 100% robust application and is prone to falling down. Further, a single instance application does not scale very well.

Clustering would help with server stability, allow for scaling to meet high demands, and allow for scheduled server maintenance to not interrupt the service. It is ignorant to believe that one instance is all an enterprise environment will ever need.

The main bottlenecks appear to be:

1. sessions
2. attachments
3. indexes

I would suggest that following strategies to solve these problems
1) remove all session dependencies - make the application stateless by using a combination of cookies, and referrer links. We have undergone the same endeavors with our applications (Java & .NET) and with a little ingenuity, has created a very fast application that is much more resilient to application restarts.

2) Move attachments to the database. Any serious use of this application should be using MSSQL, Oracle or other commercial servers which have very efficient handling of attachments and large binary arrays.
3) A realization that has helped us immensely is that real-time data, does not mean instantaneous synchronization in a web environment. TTL based caching is for most cases, more than good enough. Generally speaking, as long as the current user sees his changes as being applied (searches return with his changes), other users on other cluster nodes can see stale data for a short period of time.

Matt Perry hat einen Kommentar hinzugefügt - (28/Aug/09 05:23 PM)

- We need the indexing architecture. Too long to go into now, but suffice to say that JIRA is somewhere in the order of 100 times more performance using indexes than it is using a database system.

- In terms of re-inventing the wheel, we are using a standard technology called Lucene, which is a standard Java framework. It needs fast R/W access to the indexes. We currently need to store them on disk for this purpose.

We will have a clustered version of JIRA, but we need to solve a large number of problems, none of which are trivial (or we would have solved them already). Every now and then I get emails from people who say it should be as easy as flicking a switch in an application server, which probably says more about their experience clustering a large app than it does about how we should go about clustering.

We are aware of most of the patterns involved with clustering, but we have not yet had the time to implement them. Also, there is not that much demand for a clustered version, as most people feel that they wouldn't pay 2x the unclustered version to have a clusterable version of JIRA.

If you do find any information on clustering Lucene - please let me know. Currently the best information I have seen is online here:

http://www.mail-archive.com/lucene-user@jakarta.apache.org/msg12709.html

Cheers,
Scott

Chris McDonald hat einen Kommentar hinzugefügt - (28/Aug/09 05:27 PM)

WANdisco also offers a JIRA clustering solution (see http://www.wandisco.com/jiracluster) “JIRA Clustering applies WANdisco's active-active replication technology to bring enterprise class scalability and reliability to JIRA's flexible and easy to use bug tracking, issue tracking, and project management solution. With JIRA Clustering, a central JIRA server is no longer a performance bottleneck. Features

- Provides clustering over a LAN to dynamically balance workload across multiple JIRA servers at a single location.
- Provides clustering over a WAN to dynamically balance workload across JIRA servers at multiple locations.
- Has no single point of failure whatsoever. JIRA Clustering's approach is truly shared nothing. There is no sharing of disk, CPU, or memory between servers with JIRA Clustering.
- Does not rely on a single shared database. Each server in the cluster has its own independent database replica that is kept in sync with all of the others by WANdisco's active-active replication technology.
- Provides failover and automated disaster recovery over a WAN or a LAN to insure business continuity, without any reliance on third party disk mirroring solutions.
- Can be implemented standalone or in conjunction with WANdisco's clustering solutions for Subversion and CVS to provide a complete, highly reliable and scalable application lifecycle management solution stack.

Admin hat einen Kommentar hinzugefügt - (28/Aug/09 05:36 PM)

And don't forget about TERRACOTTA
### [JIRA-6] Allow text custom fields to be searched from quick search / text search

Erstellt: 28/Aug/09 12:57 PM - Aktualisiert: 28/Aug/09 05:28 PM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>Open</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Projekt:</td>
<td>JIRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Komponente(n):</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>betrifft Version(en):</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lösungsversion(en):</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typ:</td>
<td>New Feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autor:</td>
<td>Admin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lö sung:</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priorität:</td>
<td>Major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearbeiter:</td>
<td>Admin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimmen:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Currently to search for text in a custom field you need edit the search for each field separately. It would be useful if you could add the custom field to the main text query search, like what is done with comments and environment.

Matt Perry hat einen Kommentar hinzugefügt - (28/Aug/09 05:24 PM)

Searching in text-based custom fields is a more than natural thing. I am quite annoyed to see such simple things like this one (with an estimate of 4 hours!!!) to be open for that long.
Searching custom text fields via QuickSearch is a definite must!

Chris McDonald hat einen Kommentar hinzugefügt - (28/Aug/09 05:28 PM)

Being able to do such a search would eliminate the need for hacks like the comment in https://jira.ts.wikimedia.org/browse/WIKISENSE-9. Implementation could be as one checkbox for "All Custom Fields" that have text equivalents, or as checkboxes for each of the (currently 2 at Wikimedia) "Custom Fields" that have text equivalents (the former scales better but the latter allows more granularity). See also my similar report at https://jira.ts.wikimedia.org/browse/TS-74.

[JIRA-7] WYSIWYG / Rich Text Editor

Status: Closed
Projekt: JIRA
Komponente(n): None
betrifft Version(en): None
Lösungsversion(en): None

Typ: New Feature
Priorität: Major
Autor: Admin
Bearbeiter: Admin
Lösung: Won't Fix
Stimmen: 0

Now that JIRA supports wiki markup, it would be nice if there is a WYSIWYG/Rich Text editor for JIRA (similar to the one in Confluence)

Admin hat Änderungen vorgenommen - (29/Aug/09 10:07 AM)
status Open Resolved
resolution Won't Fix

Admin hat Änderungen vorgenommen - (29/Aug/09 10:07 AM)
status Resolved Closed

[JIRA-9] Issue description is not being saved when issue created
Erstellt: 28/Aug/09 12:59 PM - Aktualisiert: 28/Aug/09 05:34 PM

Status: Open
Projekt: JIRA
Komponente(n): None
betrifft Version(en): None
Lösungsversion(en): None
Anything typed in the description field when creating an issue on J.A.C doesn't seem to be saved.

I've reproduced this on two bugs using my account now, one in the Confluence project, one in the TST project. (Will find out shortly if it happens again in the Jira project.)

Admin hat einen Kommentar hinzugefügt - (28/Aug/09 05:33 PM)

I suspect strongly that this is caused by JRA-18113

If you "preview" the rich text then the update is lost. This has been fixed in the latest code and will be up on the next beta (due around Aug 18-20th)

if you could please re-check my assumption, that would be much appreciated.

I will leave this issue open until we ship 4.0, after which we will close it if its not proven to be some other reason.

Admin hat Änderungen vorgenommen - (28/Aug/09 05:34 PM)

priority Major Critical